

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,352	09/15/2003	Mingchih M. Tseng	00216-091011 / OB-37H	1599
26161 FISH & RICHA	7590 07/16/2007 ARDSON PC	•	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 1022		KRASS, FREDERICK F		
MINNEAPOLI	IS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		1614	-
			. MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
·	10/663,352	TSENG ET AL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Frederick Krass	1614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
Period for Reply	VIO OCT TO EVOIDE AN	IONTHON OR THIRTY (20) DAY(_		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period and the second status of the second status of the maximum statutory period and the second sec	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed VTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 A</u>	pril 2007.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		,			
4) Claim(s) 45-59 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		. •			
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>53-59</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>45,48-50 and 52</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>46, 47 and 51</u> is/are objected to.		·			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.	•			
Application Papers		•			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc			(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		received in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.			
		•			
		•			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	_	nformal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/663,352 Page 2

Art Unit: 1614

Previous Rejections

Unless specifically repeated/maintained infra, all previous rejections are withdrawn.

Claim Informality

Claims 48 and 55, second line of each claim, in each instance "comprising" should read -

-- comprises ---.

Anticipation Rejection

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 45 and 48-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fenn et al (USP 4,311,479).

The prior art discloses antimicrobial wiping cloths ("matrices") comprising a mixture of a water insoluble polymer (polyurethane, polyethylene, etc: see column 2, lines 20-25), a water-soluble polymer (polymeric bisguanide: see especially column 2, lines 56-63), and a water-soluble indicator dye (column 3, lines 31 et seq.).

Application/Control Number: 10/663,352

Art Unit: 1614

Obviousness Rejection

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 52 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fenn et al (USP 4,311,479) in view of Drelich (USP 2,880,112).

Application/Control Number: 10/663,352

Art Unit: 1614

The primary reference is discussed <u>supra</u> and differs from the instant claim insofar as it does not specifically disclose polystyrene, although it does generally suggest the use of "many polymeric materials" at column 2, lines 15-25.

The secondary reference teaches that polystyrene is a known polymer for use in forming antimicrobial wiping cloths (column 1, last paragraph), especially when used in combination with other polymers as a strengthener. The secondary reference differs from the instant claims insofar as it does not specify incorporation of a water-leachable dye into a matrix comprising a mixture of water-soluble and water-insoluble polymers.

It would have been obvious to have incorporated polystyrene into the wiping cloths of the primary reference, motivated by the desire to provide improved strength as taught by the secondary reference.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 53-59 are allowable as presently advised.

Claims 46, 47 and 51 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art does not fairly suggest, teach or disclose the subject matter of the instant claims. More specifically, Fenn et al (USP 4,311,479) disclose using their water-soluble polymer (polymeric bisguanide) in amounts sufficient to impregnate the cloth. As is clear from the

Art Unit: 1614

disclosure of column 3, line 26, the amounts used are lower than 50 percent by weight.

Furthermore, polyethyleneoxide homopolymers are not fairly suggested, taught or disclosed.

Action is Final, Necessitated by Amendment

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,352

Art Unit: 1614

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Frederick Krass whose telephone number is (571) 272-0580. The

examiner can normally be reached at (571) 272-0580 on Monday through Friday from 9:30AM

to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ardin Marschel, can be reached at (571) 272-0718. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frederick Krass Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1614